## FILED

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

AUG 1 0 2008

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for Itself and as Trustee for the Zuni Indian Tribe, Navajo Nation and Ramah Band of Navajos and STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel. STATE ENGINEER,

MATTHEW J. DYKMAN CLERK

No. 01cv00072-BB-ACE

ZUNI RIVER BASIN ADJUDICATION

AD30.

Plaintiffs in Intervention,

٧.

and

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS, and A & R PRODUCTIONS, et. al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

ZUNI INDIAN TRIBE,

NAVAJO NATION,

Subfile No: ZRB-3-0051

## **SUBFILE ANSWER**

COME(S) NOW	WILLIAM J. ELAM OR NORI	MA M. ELAM and
answer(s) the complaint as follow	vs:	

Subfile No:

Object

Claim No Right

ZRB-3-0051

WIGNIC

(Instructions: Initial in one of the two boxes to indicate whether you object to the description of water right(s) contained in the proposed Consent Order offered by the United States and the State, or whether you make no claim as to the water right(s) described in the proposed Consent Order. Provide the appropriate explanation below, and indicate what you have done to resolve your disagreement with the United States and the State, in the spaces provided below.)

191

Subfile Answer

Page 1

ZRB-3-0051

I (We) object to the description of the water right(s) described by the proposed Consent Order for Subfile Number ZRB-3-0051 because:
(explain) No attempt has been made by the plantiffs to do a three dimensional ground water aquiter
Dynamic Solutions LLC, WWW. DSLLC, COM That
be subject to further litigation.
(Attach additional pages if necessary)
I (We) made a good faith effort to resolve my (our) disagreement with the Consent Order proposed by the United States and the State by:
(describe) We discussed our position with The Federal and State counsel, the state
Engineer and Mo Hadi of Fort Collers on 14.Th of June 2006 via Teleconference, They were only interested in how much land & cows
we now hove, which at present is 3.8 times.
(Attach additional pages if necessary) what we had when we First filled our request and we intend to expand
I (We) claim no right for the water right(s) described by the proposed Consent Order for Subfile Number ZRB-3-0051 because:  (explain)
(explain)
(Attach additional pages if necessary)

I (We) understand that by making this claim and filing this document I (we) am (are) not waiving my (our) rights to later raise, in an Amended Answer, any jurisdictional or affirmative defenses I (we) may have.

(Instructions: Each named defendant, or the defendant's attorney, must sign and date this Answer. If multiple defendants are named and you have separate addresses or telephone numbers, please attach an additional page providing address information for each defendant. If you are signing on behalf of a named defendant, you must indicate the source of your legal authority to do so and provide both your address and the address of the named defendant.)

Signature(s) - WILLIAM J. ELAM OR NORMA M. ELAM:

912 Hermosa Drive SE

505 Z68 18.47 Phone Number: Print Clearly)

IMPORTANT: If you have been served with a summons and copy of the complaint in this action, or if you waived service of process, you must file an answer in this subfile with United States District Court for the District of New Mexico by August 12, 2006. Any right you may have to use waters of the stream system may be adjudicated by default judgment in conformity with the Consent Order proposed by the United States and the State if you fail to file an answer by August 12, 2006. The court's address is 333 Lomas NW, Suite 270, Albuquerque, NM 87102. A copy of the answer filed with the district court must also be sent to counsel for the United States at the following address:

> **BRADLEY S. BRIDGEWATER** U.S. Department of Justice 1961 Stout Street - 8th Floor **Denver, CO 80294**