## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXIC 5 SEP 18 PM 4: 33

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel. STATE ENGINEER,

Plaintiffs.

٧.

A & R PRODUCTIONS, et. al.,

Defendants.

\*CLERK-ALBUQUERQUE

No. 01cv00072-MV/WPI

ZUNI RIVER BASIN ADJUDICATION

Subfile No: ZRB-4-0341

## **SUBFILE ANSWER**

COME(S) NOW LARRY ZWIGART AND DIANNE ZWIGART and answer(s) the complaint as follows:

Subfile No:

Object

Claim No Right

ZRB-4-0341

2

(Instructions: <u>Initial</u> in one of the two boxes to indicate whether you object to the description of water right(s) contained in the proposed Consent Order offered by the United States and the State, or whether you make no claim as to the water right(s) described in the proposed Consent Order. Provide the appropriate explanation below, and indicate what you have done to resolve your disagreement with the United States and the State, in the spaces provided below.)

I (We) object to the description of the water right(s) described by the proposed Consent Order for Subfile Number ZRB-4-0341 because:

I object to the changes you are making to the original State allotment of 3 ac-ft per annum which was more than adequate for family use for inside and outside. You are decreasing to .7 ac-ft which may only be adequate for a small family of 4 or 5 inside only. The ability to raise any livestock or have a garden may be impossible. I feel that this is taking away rights that we have paid and worked for. Definitely Not The American Way. I also do not believe your assessment of usage.

I (We) made a good faith effort to resolve my (our) disagreement with the Consent Order

Subfile Answer

proposed by the United States and the State by:

I was only able to talk by phone because of the time that was allotted. The phone conversation was only one-sided. There was no conversation over my objections. They only said what they were going to do. When I brought up that I felt what was being done was so they would have a low amount and when they decide to put water meters on, the allotment would be low and most people would go over the allotment. At that point, they almost hung up, it was a quick goodbye. They were not concerned with what I had to say. A complete waste of my time.

I (We) claim no right for the water right(s) described by the proposed Consent Order for Subfile Number ZRB-4-0341 because: (explain)

We still feel that your proposal is not fair to the public. A 2 - 3 ac-ft would be more acceptable to most people so they could have their livestock and gardens. Then if a restriction on usage would be necessary, most people would cooperate. When you dictate what we are going to do, cooperation will be much harder to get.

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

I (We) understand that by making this claim and filing this document I (we) am (are) not waiving my (our) rights to later raise, in an Amended Answer, any jurisdictional or affirmative defenses I (we) may have.

(Instructions: Each named defendant, or the defendant's attorney, must sign and date this Answer. If you are represented in this case by an attorney, your Answer must be filed by your attorney. If multiple defendants are named and you have separate addresses or telephone numbers, please attach an additional page providing address information for each defendant. If you are signing on behalf of a named defendant, you must indicate the source of your legal authority to do so and provide both your address and the address of the named defendant.)

Signature(s) - LARRY ZWIGART AND DIANNE ZWIGART

(Phone

ALBURACRAUE, NM 87/02

CLERK OF COURT 333 LOMAS BLUD NU Swige 270

MATTHEW J. DYKMAN

AT Albuquerque NM



