IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTING FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO OCT 12 AM II: 09

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for Itself and as Trustee for the Zuni Indian Tribe, Navajo Nation and Ramah Band of Navajos and STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel. STATE ENGINEER, CLERK-SANTA FE

Plaintiffs,

and

ZUNI INDIAN TRIBE, NAVAJO NATION,

Plaintiffs in Intervention,

V.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS, and A & R PRODUCTIONS, et. al.,

	_		
\mathbf{r}	efer	പ്പ	ntc
	- -	11124	

No. 01cv00072-BB

ZUNI RIVER BASIN ADJUDICATION

Subfile No: ZRB-2-0091

SUBFILE ANSWER

COME(S) NOW EDWARD ALLEN WAGNER & DONNA MARIE WAGNER and answer(s) the complaint as follows:

Subfile No:	<u>Object</u>	Claim No Right
ZRB-2-0091	-Za-W	

(Instructions: <u>Initial</u> in one of the two boxes to indicate whether you object to the description of water right(s) contained in the proposed Consent Order offered by the United States and the State, or whether you make no claim as to the water right(s) described in the proposed Consent Order. Provide the appropriate explanation below, and indicate what you have done to resolve your disagreement with the United States and the State, in the spaces provided below.)

Subfile Answer Page 1 ZRB-2-0091

I (We) object to the description of the water right(s) described by the proposed Consent Order for Subfile Number ZRB-2-0091 because:
(explain) PLEASE SEE MY MESSAGE TO MR. BRIDGEWATER ON
MAY 16, 2010, ATTACHED. I NEVER RECEIVED A RESPONSE, GOTU CONSENT ORDER
THIS WAS IN REGARD TO 1413 APRIL 20, 2010, LETTER.
(Attach additional pages if necessary)
I (We) made a good faith effort to resolve my (our) disagreement with the Consent Order proposed by the United States and the State by: (describe)
WITH NO RESPONSE TO MY MESSAGE I RECENT
LT VIA CERTLEIED MAIL & HAVE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
MR. BRIDGEWATER RECEIVED IT. GOW
STILL NO RESPONSE!!!
(Attach additional pages if necessary)
I (We) claim no right for the water right(s) described by the proposed Consent Order for Subfile Number ZRB-2-0091 because: (explain)
(Attach additional pages if necessary)
I (We) understand that by making this claim and filing this document I (we) am (are) not waiving my (our) rights to later raise, in an Amended Answer, any jurisdictional or affirmative defenses I (we) may have.

MAL

Sunday, May 16, 2010 9:08 AM

Water Adjudication, Your letter of April 20,2010

From: "Ed Wagner" <rancheredo@yahoo.com>

To: "Bradley Bridgewater" <bradley.s.bridgewater@usdoj.gov>

Cc: "Laura Boyett" <boyettlawfirm@yahoo.com>, "Charles Johnson" <cjohn34@cox.net>, "Ed Wagner" <rancheredo@yahoo.com>

Mr. Bridgewater,

Who is Doris Jean Clawson, addressed in this same letter?? I know of now such person.

Two issues:

(1) The number of cattle carrying capacity for the Rincon Ranch does not agree with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) numbers. I lease 4,000 acres of BLM land within my ranch. BLM claims 269 cattle carrying capacity which I pay for annually. Ratioing 269 cattle per 4,000 acres with X cattle per 12,881 acres yields 866 cattle. Previously I reported that Bert Cox, the original Rincon Ranch owner, had over 800 head of cattle on this ranch at one time.

Please adjust from 305 head of cattle, the water allocation for the wells to supporting 866 head of cattle.

(2) Now that well 9C-6-W02 is claimed as within the defined Zuni basin, defacto it is linked to the two southern Zuni reservation wells. My geologist agrees with this as all 3 wells are in the Dakota, San Andres-Glorieta acqifer. I established this permitted Lodge well in December of 2004 with a depth of 1,100 feet and a well head of 600 foot of water.

During the summer of 2005 the Zunis pumped both their wells at 1,400 gallons per minute per their hydrologist to establish useage. This continued until the fall of 2005 when a Bureau of Indian Affairs judge told them to cease.

During this time my 1,100 foot well was totally drained. I expect restitution for the loss of this well. I have had to haul water to the lodge cistern to continue operation.

Thank you for recognizing these issue.

Edward A. Wagner

(Instructions: Each named defendant, or the defendant's attorney, must sign and date this Answer. If multiple defendants are named and you have separate addresses or telephone numbers, please attach an additional page providing address information for each defendant. If you are signing on behalf of a named defendant, you must indicate the source of your legal authority to do so and provide both your address and the address of the named defendant.)

Signature(s) – EDWARD ALLEN WAGNER & DONNA MARIE WAGNER:

 Edward	2 allen Wagner	10/8/10
	M. Wagner	10/10
 	0	
	P.O. Box 779	FENCE LAKE, NM
	(Address: Print Clear	y) GTIII
	505-205-	5706
	(Phone Number: Prin	(Clearly)

IMPORTANT: Within 20 days of September 13, 2010, you must <u>EITHER</u> accept the last Consent Order offered by the United States and the State for Subfile ZRB-2-0091 OR file an answer in this Subfile with United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. Any right you may have to use waters of the stream system may be adjudicated by default judgment in conformity with the Consent Order proposed by the United States and the State if you fail to accept the proposed Consent Order or file an answer within 20 days of September 13, 2010. Answers may be mailed to the Clerk of Court at 106 S. Federal Place, Santa Fe, NM 87501. A copy of the answer filed with the district court must also be sent to counsel for the United States and counsel for the State at the following addresses:

SEPT. 21, 2010

BRADLEY S. BRIDGEWATER U.S. Department of Justice 1961 Stout Street – 8th Floor Denver, CO 80294

EDWARD BAGLEY Office of the State Engineer, Legal Division P.O. Box 25102 Santa Fe, NM 87504

PO Box 779
Fence Lake, NM 87315

RECEIV

Mr. Ed Wagner

TO THE WAY THE WAY

TE MA ORESELLING SE

RECEIVED AT SANTA FE

OCT 1 3 2010 AR MATTHEW J. DYKMAN CLERK

> CLERK OF COURT 106 5, FEDERAL PLACE SANTA FE, NM 87501

中7601十1902

Amenda Am