## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

FILED

10 FATES DISTRICT COURT

and ) STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel. STATE )	CLERK-ALBUQUER <b>QUE</b>
ENGINEER,	PET II AUTOVAOTI VAOT
Plaintiffs, ) And ) ZUNI INDIAN TRIBE, NAVAO NATION, )	No. 01cv00072 BB/WDS  ZUNI RIVER BASIN  ADJUDICATION
Plaintiffs in Intervention ) v. )	Subfile No. ZRB-3-0090
A & R PRODUCTIONS, et. al.	
Defendants. )	

## RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION

## FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

I am writing in response to Document 1690 – Motion for Default Judgment – in the above captioned case.

In May 2006 I received the service packet with a proposed settlement. In a timely manner I sent in my subfile answer in the prepaid return envelope. Unfortunately I did not request a return receipt. In my response I did not accept the proposed settlement as I felt it was inadequate, and I requested a consultation.

I was therefore surprised to learn in February 2008 (Document 1514) that a default motion was to be entered for failure to file. I contacted Mr. Bridgewater

requesting that the entry of default be set aside. He informed me that he would oppose this.

I am certain that I filed a response in a timely manner and feel that an error has occurred. I am therefore requesting that the motion for default judgment be denied.

Thank you.

David Kessler

PO Box 467

Zuni, NM 87327