IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel. STATE ENGINEER,

Plaintiffs,

and

No. 01cv00072 BB/WWD-ACE

ZUNI INDIAN TRIBE AND NAVAJO NATION,

ZUNI RIVER BASIN

Plaintiffs-in-Intervention,

v.

A & R Productions, et al.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES' ADDITIONAL COMMENTS RE: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Pursuant to the Special Master's instructions during the hearing in this matter on August 23, 2005, the United States of America ("United States") submits the following additional comments concerning the Special Master's July 26, 2005, *Order to Show Cause and Notice of Hearing Re: Stream System-Wide Issue* [Doc. No. 370] ("Show Cause Order"), matters discussed at the August 23, 2005 hearing, and documents filed by other parties following the hearing. In addition, the United States submits herewith a revised proposed *Amended Procedural And Scheduling Order For The*

Adjudication Of Water Rights Claims In Sub-Areas 4 And 8 Of The Zuni River Stream System.

Draft Stream Adjudication Rules circulated for comment by the Judicial Subcommittee of the New Mexico State Supreme Court Ad Hoc Adjudication Rules Committee.

The United States objects to any application of the referenced draft stream adjudication rules to this federal civil action for the following reasons:

- 1. The referenced stream adjudication rules circulated by the Judicial Subcommittee clearly indicate on their face that they are drafts. They are not final and continue to undergo significant change in each version.
- 2. The draft rules do not have the force of law in any jurisdiction.
- 3. Even if adopted by the New Mexico Supreme Court as rules binding in the courts of the State, the referenced water adjudication rules would provide no binding authority with respect to Federal Court proceedings and could not be applied in this proceeding in derogation of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Federal Rules of Evidence. U.S. Const. art. VI, Paragraph 2.

Post-Hearing Comments Filed by Defendants Richard Davis Mallery et al. and Paul Davis et al.

The comments filed on August 30, 2005 by counsel for Richard Davis Mallery *et al.* and counsel for Paul Davis *et al.* identify, for the first time, legal questions and authorities that may be relevant to the question of whether the adjudication of some aspects of the water rights for some of the domestic wells in the Zuni stream system, specifically those domestic wells permitted pursuant to NMSA 1978 § 72-12-1, may be conducted on a basin-wide basis. The United States requests 10 days, or until September 9, 2005, in which to submit a detailed response to these post-hearing filings.

Delay and Consequent Expense Caused by Deviation From the Procedure and Schedule Previously Ordered in this Case

The post-hearing submissions mentioned above have now identified seven potential legal issues. The United States' position is that defendants are free, at any time, to file a motion for summary judgment concerning such issues, but that there is no need to disrupt the schedule previously ordered by the Special Master in consequence of, much less in mere anticipation of, such motions. However, if the subarea by subarea procedure previously ordered by the Special Master is to be further stayed, or discarded, the consequences will inevitably be the interjection of profound delay into this action.

There will be delay while the parties brief, and the Special Master, and possibly the District Court, decide, what the relevant stream system issue or issues are, who must be joined before the substance of those issues can be briefed, and how such joinder is to be accomplished. There will be delay while such joinder is completed.

There will be delay while the parties brief, and the Special Master, and possibly the District Court, decide, whether discovery is necessary to fairly resolve the selected issues and, if so, there will be further delay while such discovery is conducted. There will be delay while the parties finally brief the identified issues and while the Special Master prepares her report and recommendations. There will be delay while the parties brief their objections to the Special Master's report and until the District Court decides the matter. There may be much more delay if any party succeeds in taking an appeal of the issue to the Court of Appeals. A realistic estimate of the time that will be consumed by these actions will acknowledge that it will require months, at a minimum, and more likely years, based on experience with similar proceedings in this Court.

While this delay continues, the hydrographic survey data already compiled by the United States at a cost of over \$1 million, in reliance on this Court's previous procedural orders, will be growing stale. As Mr. Stripp pointed out at the August 23, 2005 hearing, property ownership in the Zuni Basin is constantly changing. In addition, new domestic wells are being permitted by the State on a continual basis. The cumulative effect of these and other changes to water uses in the Zuni stream system while a basin-wide issue is resolved, will be to render obsolete and unreliable the hydrographic survey already nearly completed. The United States cannot afford to spend federal taxpayer money on hydrographic surveys rendered useless simply because this Court decides to abruptly change its procedural course in the middle of the adjudication.

The United States again urges the Special Master to maintain the schedule sequence mandated by her previous scheduling orders. Submitted herewith is a revised Amended Procedural and Scheduling Order For The Adjudication Of Water Rights Claims In Sub-Areas 4 And 8 Of The Zuni River Stream System which incorporates changes made necessary by the passage of time. No party objected to a previous version of the amended order circulated to counsel on July 22, 2005. Counsel for the United States has discussed the schedule incorporated in the proposed amended order with Counsel for the State and believes that schedule is feasible, provided the amended order is entered by the Special Master no later than September 12, 2005.

Respectfully submitted this 31st day of August 2005.

COUNSEL FOR THE UNITED STATES:

BRADLEYS. BRIDGEWA

U.S. Department of Justice

999 18th Street, Suite 945N

Denver, CO 80202 (303) 312-7318

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing *United States' Additional*

Comments Re: Order To Show Cause were mailed to all persons on the attached

distribution list on August 31, 2005.

BRADLEY S. BRIDGEWATER

Charnas, Stephen, Esq. Shadle, Stephen P., Esq. Ionta, Robert W., Esq. Westover, Shadle, Carter & Walsma Sutin, Thayer & Browne PC McKim, Head & Ionta 2260 S. Fourth Ave., #2000 P.O. Box 1945 P.O. Box 1059 Yuma, AZ 85364 Albuquerque, NM 87103-1945 Gallup, NM 87305 Stripp, William G., Esq. Haas, James E., Esq. Dahl, Jeffrey A., Esq. P.O. Box 159 Losee, Carson & Haas P.A. Lamb, Metzgar, Lines & Dahl, PA Ramah, NM 87321 Box 1720 P.O. Box 987 Artesia, NM 88211 Albuquerque, NM 87103 Gardner, David R., Esq. Marx, Jane, Esq. Joca, Mary Ann, Esq. U.S. DOA, Off. of Gen. Counsel P.O. Box 62 2825 Candelaria Road NW Bernalillo, NM 87004 P.O. Box 586 Albuquerque, NM 87107 Albuquerque, NM 87103 Stanley Pollack/Bidtah Becker Greg Mehojah Williams, Pamela, Esq. Navajo Nation Dept. of Justice DOI, Office of the Solicitor Division of Indian Affairs P.O. Box 2010 505 Marquette Ave NW Ste 1800 1849 C St., N.W., Rm 6456 Window Rock, AZ 86515-2010 Albuquerque, NM 87102 Washington, DC 20240 Drullinger, Sandra S., Pro Se Gugliotta, Kimberly J., Pro Se Lebeck, David R., Pro Se 158 W. William Casey St. 818 E. Maple St. Lebeck, Albert O., Pro Se Hoopeston, IL 60942 Corona, AZ 85641 P.O. Drawer 38 Gallup, NM 87305 McBride, Gerlad F., Pro Se Bunch, Steven L., Esq. Cassutt, Kenneth J., Esq. McBride, Myrrl W., Pro Se N. M. Highway & Trans. Dept. 530-B Harkle Road 2725 Aliso Dr., N.E. P.O. Box 1149 Santa Fe, NM 87505 Albuquerque, NM 87110 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1149 Gehlert, David W., Esq. Shoenfeld, Peter B., Esq. Stephen Hughes/Michael Thomas N.M. State Land Office USDOJ-ENRD P.O. Box 2421 999 18TH St., Suite 945 Sante Fe, NM 87504-2421 P.O. Box 1148 Denver, Colorado 80202 Sante Fe, NM 87504-1148 Sunny J.Nixon, Mark Adams Sanchez, Dorothy C., Esq. Gabin, Special Master Vickie L. Rodey Dickason Sloan Akin & Robb 1011 4th Street, N.W. U.S. District Court - DCNM P.O. Box 1357 Albuquerque, NM 87102-2132 P.O. Box 2384 Santa Fe NM 87504-1357 Sante Fe, NM 87504-2384 Boynton, Bruce, Esq. Fahmy, Peter, Esq. DePauli, Louis E., Pro Se Boynton, Simms-West Law Office Office of Regional Solicitor 1610 Redrock Drive 755 Parfet St., Suite 151 P.O. Box 1239 Gallup, NM 87031 Grants, NM 87020 Lakewood, CO 80215 Beardsley, Ann Hambleton, Pro Se Brodrick. Ted, Pro Se Clara M. Mercer 127 Orchard Drive 1017 S. 10th Avenue P.O. Box 219 Yuma, AZ 85364

Ramah, NM 87321

Central, UT 84722

Edward Bagley, Gregory Ridgley, Off. of State Eng, Legal Services P.O. Box 25102 Sante Fe, NM 87504-5102

David Candelaria, Pro Se 12,000 Ice Caves Rd. Grants, NM 87020

Jay F. Stein, James C. Brockmann Stein & Brockmann, P.A. P.O. Box 5250 Santa Fe, NM 87502-5250

Cheryl Duty, Pro Se HC61 Box 788 Ramah, NM 87321

Updated 8/31/05

Shaw, Mark H., Esq. 9700 Entrada Pl. NW Albuquerque, NM 87114-3776

Christina Bruff DuMars, Charles T. DuMars, Tanya L. Scott 201 Third St. NW, Suite 1370 Albuquerque, NM 87102

Cullen Hallmark, Esq. Garber & Hallmark P.O. Box 850 Santa Fe, NM 87504

William J. Cooksey Dubois, Cooksey & Bischoff, P.A. 2020 Fourth Street, N.W. Albuquerque, NM 87102 Nelson, Stephen R., Esq. Johnson & Nelson, P.C. P.O. Box 25547 Albuquerque, NM 87125-5547

Susan C. Kery, Esq. Sheehan, Sheehan & Stelzner P.O. Box 271 Albuquerque, NM 87103

Richard W. Bowser, Pro Se Joan D. Bowser, Pro Se #5, Hawk Ridge Road Moriarty, NM 87035

John W. Utton Sheehan, Sheehan & Stelzner, PA P.O. Box 271 Albuquerque, NM 87103